(*c*) Both the Planning Commission and the Government of Madras have been apprised.

(*d*) Yes. The Planning Commission have asked that further steps in regard to the work may be held in abeyance until the project has been examined and consultations have taken place with the Mysore Government in regard to the financing of the project

Sri K. V. BYRE GOWDA.—I have no supplementaries.

Construction of a tank across the Kumadvathi near Marasarahalli, Nelamangala Taluk.

Q.—298. Sri K. PRABHAKAR (Nelamangala—Scheduled Castes).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

when they will take up and complete the preliminary survey work of the Marasarahalli Project in Nelamangala Taluk, Kasaba Hobli, near Marasarahalli village across the river Kumadvathy, as per the promises made during the last Session ?

A.—Sri KADIDAL MANJAPPA (Minister for Revenue and Public Works).—

This cannot be taken up as water supply to Bangalore will be affected by the construction of this new tank at Marasarahalli.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಪ್ರಭಾಕರ್.—ಈ ಕೆರೆಯನ್ನು ಕಟ್ಟುವುದರಿಂದ ಬೆಂಗಳೂರಿಗೆ ನೀರು ಸರಬರಾಯಿಗೆ ತೊಂದರೆಯಾಗುತ್ತದೆಂದು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದೀರಿ ; ಯಾವರೀತಿ ತೊಂದರೆಯಾಗುತ್ತದೆ ?

ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು.— 'Water supply to Bangalore will be affected' ಎಂದು ಉತ್ತರದಲ್ಲಿ ತಿಳಿಸಿದ್ದಾರೆ.

Sri K. PRABHAKAR.—I want to know how it will be affected.

*ಶ್ರೀ ಕಡಿದಾಳ್ ಮಂಜಪ್ಪ.—ನೀರು ಕಡಮೆಯಾಗುತ್ತದೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಪ್ರಭಾಕರ್.—1,500 ಎಕರೆ ತರಿ ಆಗುವುದು ಬೇಡ, ಅದರ ಅರ್ಧ ಅಚ್ಚುಕಟ್ಟು ಜಮೀನು ನೀರಾವರಿಯಾಗುವಂತೆ ಮಾಡಬಹುದೆಂದು ಇಂಜನಿಯರಿಂಗ್ ಇಲಾಖೆಯವರು ಮೊದಲೇ ತೀರ್ಮಾನಮಾಡಿದ್ದಾರೆಂಬುದು ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರ ಗಮನಕ್ಕೆ ಬಂದಿದೆಯೆ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಕಡಿದಾಳ್ ಮಂಜಪ್ಪ.—ಬಂದಿಲ್ಲ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಪ್ರಭಾಕರ್.—ಅರ್ಧ ಭಾಗದಷ್ಟು capacity ಉಳ್ಳ ಅಣೆಯನ್ನು ಮಾಡಿಸಿಕೊಡಬಹುದೆಂದು ಇಂಜನಿಯರಿಂಗ್ ಇಲಾಖೆಯವರು ಹೇಳಿದರೆ, ಮಾಡಿಸುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಸಾಧ್ಯವೆ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಕಡಿದಾಳ್ ಮಂಜಪ್ಪ.—ಯೋಚನೆಮಾಡಬೇಕು, ಅಷ್ಟೆ.

Policy adopted in accepting tenders for major works in the P. W. D.

Q.—306. Sri B. M. SEENAPPA (Cubbonpet).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(*a*) whether it has come to their notice that tenders are indented for major works in the Public Works Department ;

(*b*) what is the policy in accepting tenders ;

(*c*) whether it is true that after acceptance of tenders, the original estimate and plan of the work for which the least tender was accepted undergo changes ; if so, the reasons therefor ;

(*d*) whether there are any such instances where deviations are sanctioned involving excess expenditure to the extent of two or three times the original estimate or tender amount, thus resulting in loss to Government ;

(*e*) why such deviations are allowed ?

A.—Sri KADIDAL MANJAPPA (Minister for Revenue and Public Works).—

(*a*) Yes.

(*b*) The lowest valid tender is generally accepted by the Engineer Officers or Government according to the powers delegated in this behalf.

(*c*) This happens, though not always. Deviations from the first approved plan or sanctioned estimate or as common to tendered works as they are to works executed by other systems. These are effected only when necessary, due to the changed needs of the departments for whom the works are executed or for ensuring better construction or greater benefit from the works.

(SRI KADIDAL MANJAPPA.)

(*d*) Such cases are very rare and they are generally done under the approval of Government. The deviations are effected only when considered necessary and there is no question of loss to Government.

(*e*) Though estimates and plans are prepared after necessary surveys and with due care, the deviations as stated in (*c*) above cannot be entirely avoided.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಎಂ. ಶ್ರೀನಪ್ಪ.—'Such cases are rare' ಎಂದು ಉತ್ತರದಲ್ಲಿ ತಿಳಿಸಿದೆ. ಹೊಸದಾಗಿ ಚೀಫ್ ಇಂಜಿನಿಯರವರ ಆಫೀಸ್ ಕಟ್ಟಡವನ್ನು ಕಟ್ಟಿದ್ದಾರೆ; ಅದರ ಅಂದಾಜೆಷ್ಟು?

*Sri KADIDAL MANJAPPA.—Notice. The question asked is a general question, but he is putting a supplementary on a particular work, Sir.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಎಂ. ಶ್ರೀನಪ್ಪ.—ರಿವೈಸ್ಡ್ ಅಂದಾಜು ಬಂದಿದೆಯೆ ಅದಕ್ಕೆ?

ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು.—ನೋಟೀಸ್ ಬೇಕೆಂದು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಇಂಥಾದ್ದು ಎಂದು ನಿರ್ದಿಷ್ಟವಾಗಿ ಮೊದಲೇ ಕೇಳಬೇಕಾಗಿತ್ತು.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಎಂ. ಶ್ರೀನಪ್ಪ.—ನೂರಕ್ಕೆ 99 ಸಂದರ್ಭಗಳಲ್ಲಿ lowest tender accept ಮಾಡಿ ಅವಕ್ಕೆ ಒಂದಕ್ಕೆ ಮೂರು, ನಾಲ್ಕರಷ್ಟು ಮೊಬಲಗಿಗೆ revised estimateಗಳು ಬರುತ್ತವೆಂಬುದು ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರ ಗಮನಕ್ಕೆ ಬಂದಿದೆಯೆ?

ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು.—ಅದಕ್ಕೆ ಆಗಲೇ ಉತ್ತರ ಬಂದಿದೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಎಂ. ಶ್ರೀನಪ್ಪ.—ಚಿಕ್ಕಮಗಳೂರಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಒಂದು ಹೈಸ್ಕೂಲು ಕಟ್ಟಡ ಹೊಸದಾಗಿ ಕಟ್ಟಿ ಅದರ ಬಿಲ್ಲುಕೂಡ ಪೇಮೆಂಟ್ ಆಗಿಲ್ಲ, ಅದರ ಧಾವಣಿ ಸೋರುತ್ತಿರುವುದು ತಮ್ಮ ಗಮನಕ್ಕೆ ಬಂದಿದೆಯೆ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಕಡಿದಾಳ್ ಮಂಜಪ್ಪ.—ನೋಟೀಸ್.

Retrenchment in Food Establishment.

Q.—111. Sri B. P. NAGARAJA MURTHY (Malavalli).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(*a*) after the complete de-control or de-rationing of food, to what extent the food establishment is retrenched;

(*b*) the amount saved out of this retrenchment;

(*c*) how many persons are retrenched from the food establishment;

(*d*) how many persons are still remaining in the food establishment;

A.—Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA (Minister for Home and Industries).—

(*a*) 35.7 per cent.

(*b*) Rs. 74,414-8-0 p.m. or Rs. 8,92,974 per annum.

(*c*) 1,503 (from 1st April 1953).

(*d*) 2,704.

Sri B. P. NAGARAJA MURTHY.—Will the Government be pleased to state the reason for retaining nearly two-thirds of the establishment?

*Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—Alhough we have derationed throughout the State, still all the Reserve Depots and Sales Depots, most of them, have been kept in tact. Even today we have got 9,23,752 pallas of grain, which we have to deal with. Therefore, we have yet to retain some substantial staff by way of abundant caution.

Sri B. P. NAGARAJA MURTHY.—Will the Government be pleased to state whether any retrenchment is possible?

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—It is the object of Government to retrench as and when occasions arise.

Sri B. P. NAGARAJA MURTHY.—Will the Government be pleased to state whether any gazetted officers have been retrenched?

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—Some of them.

Sri B. P. NAGARAJA MURTHY.—How many?

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—I want notice for that. As a matter of fact, in 1952-53, we had 6,100 Government servants, both gazetted and non-gazetted....

Mr. SPEAKER.—The Hon'ble Member wants information regarding the number of gazetted officers retrenched.

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—I have not got the information, Sir.

Sri R. ANANTARAMAN.—Is it not the duty of the Government to provide jobs for the unemployed persons who have been retrenched in the Food Department?